arXiv:1301.0014v2 [cs.IT] 26 Dec 2013

Propelinear 1-perfect codes from quadratic functions*

Denis S. Krotov, Vladimir N. Potapov

Abstract. The 1-perfect code obtained by Vasil'ev–Schönheim construction from a linear base code and a quadratic switching function is transitive and, moreover, propelinear. This gives at least $\exp(cN^2)$ propelinear 1-perfect codes of length N over an arbitrary finite field, while an upper bound on the number of transitive codes is $\exp(c(N \ln N)^2)$.

Keywords: perfect code, propelinear code, transitive code, automorphism group.

1. Introduction

Usually, a group code is defined as a subgroup of the additive group of a finite vector space. There are alternative approaches [10, 13, 11, 6, 5, 7, 9] that allow to relate the codewords of a code with the elements of some group. Usually, the mapping from the group to the code is is required to satisfy some metric properties, because the distance is what is very important for error-correcting codes. One of the approaches considers so-called propelinear codes, introduced in [13] for the binary space. The codewords of a propelinear code C are in one-to-one correspondence with a group G of isometries of the space that acts regularly on the code itself. In other words, given some fixed codeword $v \in C$ (say, the all-zero word), every other codeword can be uniquely written as $g(v)$, $g \in G$. Every propelinear code is transitive; that is, it is an orbit of a group of isometries of the space (for a transitive code in general, this group is not required to act regularly).

In the current correspondence, we will prove that the number of nonequivalent propelinear codes with the same parameters, namely, the parameters of 1-perfect codes over an arbitrary finite field, growths at least exponentially with respect to the square of the code length (Corollary 1). By the order of the logarithm, this number is comparable with the total number of propelinear codes (Theorem 2). In contrast, there is only one (up to equivalence) linear 1-perfect code for each admissible length, but the number of non-linear 1-perfect codes growths doubly-exponentially [16, 14].

For the case $q = 2$, an exponential lower bounds (with respect to the square root of the code length, to be more accurate) on the number of transitive and the number of propelinear 1-perfect codes were firstly established in [12] and [3], respectively. Here, we will show how to improve the lower bound and generalize it to an arbitrary prime power q, using rather simple construction. Some other constructions of transitive and propelinear perfect codes can be found in [1], [8], [15], [2].

Section 2 contains definitions and auxiliary lemmas. In Section 3, we formulate the main results of the correspondence. The main theorem is proven in Section 4. In Section 5, we consider some remarks and examples concerning the structure of the group related to the code. In Section 6, we discuss a problem of studying functions that can result in transitive codes.

---

*The results of the paper were presented in part at the Mal'tsev Meeting (Novosibirsk, Russia, Nov. 12-16, 2012).

2. Preliminaries

Let F be a finite field of order q; let F^n be the vector space of all n-words over the alphabet F. An arbitrary subset of F^n is referred to as a code. A code is linear if it is a vector subspace of F^n. A code $C \subset F^n$ is called 1-perfect if for every word v from F^n there is exactly one c in C agreeing with v in at least $n-1$ positions.

2.1. Vasil'ev–Schönheim construction

Let $H \subset F^n$, and let $f : H \to F$ be an arbitrary function. Define the set

$$C(H,f) = \Big\{ ((v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, p) \ : \ v_\alpha \in F^n, \ \sum_{\alpha\in F} v_\alpha = c \in H, \ p = \sum_{\alpha\in F} \alpha|v_\alpha| + f(c) \Big\}$$

where $(v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}$ is treated as the concatenation of the words v_α (which will be referred to as *blocks*) in some prefixed order, $|v_\alpha|$ is the sum of all n elements of v_α. If H is a 1-perfect code, then $C(H,f)$ is a 1-perfect code in F^{qn+1}, known as a Schönheim code [14] (in the case $q=2$, a Vasil'ev code [16]). Clearly, the set $C(H,f)$ essentially depends on the choice of the function f:

Lemma 1. *For fixed H, different f result in different $C(H,f)$.*

Proof. The graph of the function f can be reconstructed from the set $C(H,f)$: $\{(x,f(x)) \ : \ x \in H\} = \Big\{ \Big(\sum_{\alpha\in F} v_\alpha, \ p - \sum_{\alpha\in F} \alpha|v_\alpha| \Big) \ : \ ((v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, p) \in C(H,f) \Big\}$. Hence, $C(H,f) = C(H,f')$ implies $f = f'$. ▲

2.2. Automorphisms, equivalence, transitivity and propelinearity

The *Hamming graph* $G(F^n)$ is defined on the vertex set F^n; two words are connected by an edge if and only if they differ in exactly one position. It is known (see, e.g., [4, Theorem 9.2.1]) that every automorphism Π of $G(F^n)$ is composed from a coordinate permutation π and alphabet permutations ψ_i in each coordinate: $\Pi(x) = (\psi_1(x_{\pi^{-1}(1)}), \ldots, \psi_n(x_{\pi^{-1}(n)}))$. Two codes are said to be *equivalent* if there is an automorphism of $G(F^n)$ that maps one of the codes to the other. Note that the algebraic properties of the code, such as being a linear or affine subspace, are not invariant with respect to this combinatorial equivalence, in general. The *automorphism group* $\mathrm{Aut}(C)$ of a code C consists of all automorphisms of $G(F^n)$ that stabilize (fix set-wise) C. A code C containing the all-zero word 0 is *transitive* if for every codeword a there exists $\varphi_a \in \mathrm{Aut}(C)$ that sends 0 to a. If, additionally, the set $\{\varphi_a \ : \ a \in C\}$ is closed under composition (that is, for all a and b from C we have $\varphi_a\varphi_b = \varphi_c$, where $c = \varphi_a\varphi_b(0)$), then C is a *propelinear* code, see e.g. [3].

2.3. Quadratic functions

Assume H is a subspace of F^n. A function $f : H \to F$ is called *quadratic* if it can be represented as a polynomial of degree at most 2.

We will use the following elementary property of the quadratic functions (actually, it is a characterizing property).

Lemma 2. *Let H be a subspace of F^n. If $f : H \to F$ is a quadratic function, then for every $c \in H$ there exist $\beta_0^c, \beta_1^c, \dots, \beta_n^c \in F$ such that*

$$f(x+c) = f(x) + \beta_0^c + \beta_1^c x_1 + \ldots + \beta_n^c x_n \qquad \text{for all } x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in H. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, β_i^c, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, depends linearly on c: $\beta_i^{c+d} = \beta_i^c + \beta_i^d$.

Proof. The difference of $(x_i + c_i)(x_j + c_j)$ and $x_i x_j$ has degree at most 1. Moreover, the coefficients at x_i and x_j in this difference depend linearly on c. Hence, the same is true for the difference of $p(x+c)$ and $p(x)$ for every polynomial p of degree at most 2. ▲

Lemma 3. *Let H be an m-dimensional subspace of F^n. There are at least $q^{m^2/2}$ different quadratic functions from H to F.*

Proof. Obviously, a linear transformation of the space does not affect to the property of a function to be quadratic. Hence, we can assume without loss of generality that H consists of the n-words that end with $n - m$ zeroes. Then, the number of different quadratic functions is the number of polynomials of degree at most 2 in m first variables, i.e., $q^{m(m-1)/2+m+m+1}$ for $q > 2$ and $q^{m(m-1)/2+m+1}$ for $q = 2$ (when $x_i^2 \equiv x_i$). ▲

3. Main results

3.1. Lower bound

In the Section 4, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *If $H \subset F^n$ is a linear code and $f : H \to F$ is a quadratic function, $f(0) = 0$, then $C(H, f)$ is a propelinear code.*

Corollary 1. *The number of nonequivalent propelinear 1-perfect q-ary codes of length N obtained by the Vasil'ev–Schönheim construction is at least $q^{\frac{N^2}{2q}(1+o(1))}$.*

Proof. As follows from Theorem 1, Lemma 1, and Lemma 3, the number of different propelinear 1-perfect codes of type $C(H, f)$ is at least $q^{\frac{m^2}{2}}$, where $m = n - \log_q(nq - n + 1)$ and n is the length of H. Since $N = qn + 1$, we see that $q^{\frac{m^2}{2}} = q^{\frac{N^2}{2q}(1+o(1))}$. To evaluate the number of nonequivalent codes, we divide this number by the number $N!q^N = q^{N \log_q N(1+o(1))}$ of all automorphisms of F^N and find that this does not affect on the essential part of the formula. ▲

3.2. Upper bound

To evaluate how far our lower bound on the number of transitive 1-perfect codes can be from the real value, we derive an upper bound:

Theorem 2. (a) *The number of different transitive codes in F^N does not exceed $2^{(N\log_2 N)^2(1+o(1))}$.* (b) *The number of different propelinear codes in F^N does not exceed $q^{N^2\log_2 N(1+o(1))}$.*

Proof. Since every subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(F^N)$ is generated by at most $\log_2|\mathrm{Aut}(F^N)|$ elements, the number of subgroups is less than $|\mathrm{Aut}(F^N)|^{\log_2|\mathrm{Aut}(F^N)|} = 2^{(N\log_2 N)^2(1+o(1))}$ (recall that $|\mathrm{Aut}(F^N)| = (q!)^N N! = N^{N(1+o(1))}$). Since every transitive code C containing 0 is uniquely determined by its automorphism group (indeed, C is the orbit of 0 under $\mathrm{Aut}(F^N)$), statement (a) follows.

The automorphisms assigned to the codewords of a propelinear code C form a group of order $|C| \le q^N$. It is generated by at most $\log_2 q^N = N\log_2 q$ elements; each of them can be chosen in less than $|\mathrm{Aut}(F^N)| = N^{N(1+o(1))}$ ways; (b) follows. ▲

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $H \subset F^n$ be a linear code and let $f : H \to F$ be a quadratic function. The key point in the proof is the following simple statement.

Lemma 4. *Let $f'(x) = f(x) + \beta x_j$ for some $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, $\beta \in F$. Then $C(H,f') = \Pi_j^\beta C(H,f)$ where Π_j^β is the coordinate permutation that sends the j'th coordinate of the block $v_{\alpha+\beta}$ to the j'th coordinate of the block v_α for all $\alpha \in F$ and fixes the other coordinates.*

Proof. Let us consider the codeword $x = ((v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, p)$ of $C(H,f)$. It satisfies $p = \sum_{\alpha\in F}\alpha|v_\alpha| + f(c)$. After the coordinate permutation Π_j^β, we obtain the word $y = \Pi_j^\beta x = ((u_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, p)$ where for all α the word u_α coincides with v_α in all positions except the jth, $u_{\alpha,j}$ which is equal to $v_{\alpha+\beta,j}$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} p = \sum_{\alpha\in F}\alpha|v_\alpha| + f(c) &= \sum_{\alpha\in F}\sum_{k\ne j}\alpha v_{\alpha,k} + \sum_{\alpha\in F}\alpha v_{\alpha,j} + f(c) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in F}\sum_{k\ne j}\alpha u_{\alpha,k} + \sum_{\alpha\in F}\alpha u_{\alpha-\beta,j} + f(c) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in F}\sum_{k\ne j}\alpha u_{\alpha,k} + \sum_{\alpha\in F}(\alpha+\beta) u_{\alpha,j} + f(c) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in F}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\alpha u_{\alpha,k} + \beta\sum_{\alpha\in F} u_{\alpha,j} + f(c) = \sum_{\alpha\in F}\alpha|u_\alpha| + f(c) + \beta c_j, \end{aligned}$$

(we used that $c = (c_1,\dots,c_n) = \sum v_\alpha = \sum u_\alpha$) which proves that $\Pi_j^\beta(x) \in C(H,f')$. ▲

Now denoting $\Pi^c = \Pi_1^{\beta_1^c}\Pi_2^{\beta_2^c}\dots\Pi_n^{\beta_n^c}$, where the coefficients β_j^c are from (1), we get the following fact, which immediately proves the transitivity of the code:

Lemma 5. *For every codeword $w = ((w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, p)$ of $C(H,f)$, the transform $\Phi_w(v) = w + \Pi^c(v)$, where $c = \sum_{\alpha\in F} w_\alpha$, is an automorphism of $C(H,f)$, which sends the all-zero word to w.*

Proof. Consider $v = ((v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, s)$ from $C(H,f)$. It satisfies $s = \sum_{\alpha\in F}\alpha|v_\alpha| + f(d)$, where $d = \sum_\alpha v_\alpha$. Applying Lemma 4 with $j = 1,\dots,n$, we see that $\Pi^c(v) = ((u_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, s)$ satisfies $s = \sum_{\alpha\in F}\alpha|u_\alpha| + f(d) + \beta_1^c d_1 + \ldots + \beta_n^c d_n$, where $d = (d_1,\dots,d_n) = \sum_\alpha u_\alpha$.

Adding $w = ((w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, p)$, we obtain $w + \Pi^c(v) = ((w_\alpha + u_\alpha), r)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \sum_{\alpha\in F} \alpha|u_\alpha| + f(d) + \beta_1^c d_1 + \ldots + \beta_n^c d_n + \sum_{\alpha\in F} \alpha|w_\alpha| + f(c) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in F} \alpha|u_\alpha + w_\alpha| + f(d+c) - \beta_0^c + f(c). \end{aligned}$$

But $f(c) = f(0) + \beta_0^c$, as we see from (1). Since $f(0) = 0$, we have proved that $w + \Pi^c(v)$ belongs to $C(H, f)$. ▲

So, we get the transitivity. It remains to prove that the set of Φ_w, $w \in C(H, f)$ is closed under composition.

Lemma 6. *For every $c, d \in H$ the composition $\Pi^c\Pi^d$ equals Π^{c+d}.*

Proof. As follows directly from the definitions of Π^c and Π_i^β,

$$\Pi^c\Pi^d = \Pi_1^{\beta_1^c} \ldots \Pi_n^{\beta_n^c} \Pi_1^{\beta_1^d} \ldots \Pi_n^{\beta_n^d} = \Pi_1^{\beta_1^c}\Pi_1^{\beta_1^d}\Pi_2^{\beta_2^c}\Pi_2^{\beta_2^d} \ldots \Pi_n^{\beta_n^c}\Pi_n^{\beta_n^d}.$$

By the definition of Π_i^β, we have $\Pi_i^{\beta_i^c}\Pi_i^{\beta_i^d} = \Pi_i^{\beta_i^c+\beta_i^d}$. But, by Lemma 2, $\beta_i^c + \beta_i^d = \beta_i^{c+d}$. Finally, we have $\Pi^c\Pi^d = \Pi_1^{\beta_1^{c+d}} \ldots \Pi_n^{\beta_n^{c+d}} = \Pi^{c+d}$. ▲

Now, consider $w = ((w_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, p)$ and $v = ((v_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, s)$ form $C(H, f)$. Denote $c = \sum_\alpha w_\alpha$ and $d = \sum_\alpha v_\alpha$; observe that the permutation Π^c will not change the value of the last sum. Then,

$$\Phi_w\Phi_v(\cdot) = w + \Pi^c(v + \Pi^d(\cdot)) = w + \Pi^c(v) + \Pi^c(\Pi^d(\cdot)) = u + \Pi^e(\cdot),$$

where $u = ((u_\alpha)_{\alpha\in F}, t) = w + \Pi^c(v)$, $e = \sum_\alpha u_\alpha = c + d$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. Remarks and examples

As follows from the definition, to every codeword v of a propelinear code C there corresponds an automorphism Φ_v of C and the set $\{\Phi_v : v \in C\}$ forms a subgroup of the automorphism group of C. Although such subgroup, a *propelinear structure*, is not unique in general (see Remark 2 below), in the previous section we explicitly defined a variant of the choice of Φ_v for every $v \in C(H, f)$. Below, we provide two remarks with examples about the propelinear structure defined in the previous section.

Remark 1. For every $v \in C(H, f)$, the element Φ_v has the order 1, p, or p^2, where p is the prime that divides q. Indeed, every permutation Π^c is of order 1 or p; hence, $(\Phi_v)^p$ corresponds to the identity permutation and has the order 1 or p.

As an example, we consider the (non-perfect) code $C(H, f)$ constructed with the following parameters: $q = 2$, $n = 2$, $H = F^2$, $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_2$. From (1) we find $\beta_1^{01} = 1$, $\beta_2^{01} = 0$, $\beta_1^{10} = 0$, $\beta_2^{10} = 1$, $\beta_1^{11} = 1$, $\beta_2^{11} = 1$. The group of automorphisms related with the propelinear code $C(H, f)$ is generated by three elements Φ_u, Φ_v, Φ_w with $u = (11\,00\,1)$, $v = (10\,00\,0)$, $w = (10\,10\,1)$ and the corresponding coordinate permutations $\Pi^{11} = (13)(24)$, $\Pi^{10} = (24)$, $\Pi^{00} = \mathrm{Id}$. The first element Φ_u generates a cycle with the corresponding codewords (00 00 0), (11 00 1), (11 11 0), (00 11 1). The second generating element Φ_v adds four more codewords: (10 00 0), (00 01 1), (01 11 0), (11 10 1); the corresponding automorphisms are of order 2. The group generated by Φ_u and Φ_v is described by the orders of Φ_u, Φ_v and the identity $\Phi_v\Phi_u\Phi_v = (\Phi_u)^{-1}$, and it is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_4. The last generating element Φ_w commutes with all other elements and has the order 2. It follows that the group of automorphisms related with $C(H,f)$ is isomorphic to the direct product $D_4 \times Z_2$, where Z_2 is the cyclic group of order 2.

Remark 2. If $H \neq F^n$, then there are more than one quadratic representations of every quadratic function on H. The coefficients β_i^c and, as follows, the subgroup $\{\Phi_v : v \in C\}$ of the automorphism group of the code depend on the representation; so, there are several propelinear structures corresponding to the same code $C(H,f)$. For example, the all-zero function over $H = \{000, 111\}$ ($q = 2$) can be represented as $f(x_1,x_2,x_3) = 0$ or, e.g., as $f(x_1,x_2,x_3) = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3$. The resulting code is the same (a 1-perfect Hamming code of length 7); but in the first case, the group is, of course, an additive subgroup of F^n and isomorphic to Z_2^4, while the second representation leads to the group isomorphic to $Z_4 \times Z_2^2$.

6. Conclusion

For further development of the topic, it would be interesting to consider a wider class of functions resulting in transitive (propelinear) codes. Such functions should have properties similar to transitivity (propelinearity) of codes:

Problem. *For a vector space V and a group $\mathcal{A}$ of linear permutations of V, find non-quadratic functions f such that for every c from V there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$ meeting $f(\mu(x)+c) = f(x) + l(x)$ for some affine l. For example, for constructing transitive 1-perfect codes as above, we can take $V = H$ and $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(H)$.*

7. Acknowledgements

The work was supported in part by the RFBR (project 13-01-00463), by the Ministry of education and science of Russian Federation (project 8227), and by the Target program of SB RAS for 2012-2014 (integration project No. 14).

References

1. J. Borges and J. Rifà. A characterization of 1-perfect additive codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 45(8):1688–1697, 1999. DOI: 10.1109/18.771247.

2. J. Borges, J. Rifà, I. Yu. Mogilnykh, and F. I. Solov'eva. Structural properties of binary propelinear codes. *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 6(2):329–346, 2012. DOI: 10.3934/amc.2012.6.329.

3. J. Borges, J. Rifà, I. Yu. Mogilnykh, and F. I. Solov'eva. On the number of nonequivalent propelinear extended perfect codes. *Electr. J. Comb.*, 20(2):P37(1–14), 2013.

4. A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier. *Distance-Regular Graphs*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
5. C. Carlet. Z_{2^k}-linear codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 44(4):1543–1547, 1998. DOI: 10.1109/18.681328.
6. A. R. Hammons, Jr, P. V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, and P. Solé. The Z_4-linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 40(2):301–319, 1994. DOI: 10.1109/18.312154.
7. T. Honold and A. A. Nechaev. Fully weighted modules and representations of codes. *Probl. Inf. Transm.*, 35(3):205–223, 1999. Translated from *Probl. Peredachi Inf.*, 35(3): 18-39, 1999.
8. D. S. Krotov. Z_4-linear perfect codes. *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper., Ser.1*, 7(4):78–90, 2000. In Russian. Translated at `http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.0198`.
9. D. S. Krotov. Z_{2^k}-Dual binary codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 53(4):1532–1537, 2007. DOI: 10.1109/TIT.2007.892787.
10. A. A. Nechaev. Trace-function in Galois ring and noise-stable codes. In *Proc. V All-Union Symp. on Theory of Rings, Alg. and Mod.*, page 97, Novosibirsk, Russia, 1982. In Russian.
11. A. A. Nechaev. Kerdock code in a cyclic form. *Discrete Math. Appl.*, 1(4):365–384, 1991. DOI: 10.1515/dma.1991.1.4.365 Translated from *Diskretnaya Matematika*, 1(4):123-139, 1989.
12. V. N. Potapov. A lower bound for the number of transitive perfect codes. *J. Appl. Ind. Math.*, 1(3):373–379, 2007. DOI: 10.1134/S199047890703012X translated from Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper., Ser. 1, 13(4):49-59, 2006.
13. J. Rifà, J. M. Basart, and L. Huguet. On completely regular propelinear codes. In *Applied Algebra, Algebraic Algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes, 6th Int. Conference, AAECC-6 Rome, Italy, July 4–8, 1988 Proceedings*, volume 357 of *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.*, pages 341–355. Springer-Verlag, 1989. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-51083-4_71.
14. J. Schönheim. On linear and nonlinear single-error-correcting q-ary perfect codes. *Inf. Control*, 12(1):23–26, 1968. DOI: 10.1016/S0019-9958(68)90167-8.
15. F. I. Solov'eva. On the construction of transitive codes. *Probl. Inf. Transm.*, 41(3):204–211, 2005. DOI: 10.1007/s11122-005-0025-3 translated from Probl. Peredachi Inf., 41(3):23-31, 2005.
16. Yu. L. Vasil'ev. On nongroup close-packed codes. In *Problemy Kibernetiki*, volume 8, pages 337–339. 1962. In Russian, English translation in Probleme der Kybernetik, 8: 92-95, 1965.

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia
Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia
E-mail: `krotov@math.nsc.ru`; `vpotapov@math.nsc.ru`